

REMARKS

This application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action dated April 14, 2009. Claims 2, 8 to 10, 23, 25, 27 and 29 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer of subject matter. Claims 1, 4 to 7, 11 to 22, 24, 26, 28, 30 and 35 are pending in the application, of which Claims 1, 22, 24, 26, 28 and 30 are in independent form. Reconsideration and further examination are respectfully requested.

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the continued indication that Claims 10 to 18, 20 and 21 contain allowable subject matter. In accordance with the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter, the substance of Claim 10 (including base claims 2, 8 and 9) has been included in each of independent Claims 1, 22, 24, 26, 28 and 30.

Claims 22, 23, 26, 27 and 30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for alleged failure to comply with the written description requirement. Specifically, the Office Action alleges that the phrase "non-graphical" is not recited in the specification. The word "non-graphical" was introduced into these claims in the Amendment dated December 29, 2008, which changed the original claim language of "graphical" to "non-graphical". As shown above, the claim language has been reverted to its original language which recited the word "graphical". The foregoing changes to Claims 22, 23, 26, 27 and 30 have been made without prejudice or disclaimer of subject matter, and without conceding the correctness of the rejections. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection under § 112, first paragraph, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 2, 4 to 30 and 35 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0020586 (Prompt) in view of U.S. Patent

Application Publication No. 2004/0059736 (Willse). As indicated above, the independent ones of these claims have been amended in accordance with the substance of allowable Claim 10. The foregoing changes to the independent claims have been made without prejudice or disclaimer of subject matter, and without conceding the correctness of the rejections, which are therefore respectfully traversed.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, independent Claims 1, 22, 24, 26, 28 and 30, as well as the claims dependent therefrom, are believed to recite subject matter that would not have been obvious from the applied art, and are therefore believed to be in condition for allowance.

No other matters being raised, it is believed that the entire application is fully in condition for allowance, and such action is courteously solicited.

CONCLUSION

No claim fees are believed due. However, should it be determined that additional claim fees are required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 or 1.17, the Director is hereby authorized to charge such fees to Deposit Account 06-1205.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa, California office at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

/Shant Tchakerian #61,825/
Shant H. Tchakerian
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No.: 61,825

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3800
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

FOHS_WS 3594410v1